

ROUTING AND TRANSMITTAL SLIP

Date

11 JAN 1983

TO: (Name, office symbol, room number,
building, Agency/Post)

Initials

Date

1. EO/DDA

2.

DDA

3.

Carl S.

4.

Registry - File

5.

Action	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	File	Note and Return
Approval		For Clearance	Per Conversation
As Requested		For Correction	Prepare Reply
Circulate	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	For Your Information	See Me
Comment		Investigate	Signature
Coordination		Justify	

REMARKS

REF: DDA 82-2903/1, 20Dec82

DO NOT use this form as a RECORD of approvals, concurrences, disposals,
clearances, and similar actions

FROM: (Name, org. symbol, Agency/Post)

EXO/ODP

2D00 Hqs

Room No.—Bldg.

Phone No.

5041-102


OPTIONAL FORM 41 (Rev. 7-76)
Prescribed by GSA
FPMR (41 CFR) 101-11.206

☆ U. S. GPO: 1978-0-261-647 3354

11 JAN 1983

ODP-83-023
SAF-E003-83

6 January 1983

DD/A REGISTRY
FILE: 90-6

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, Analytic Support Group/CPAS

FROM :

Director, Consolidated SAFE Project Office/ODP

STAT

SUBJECT : Teleconferencing Between Headquarters and
Los Angeles

Bob,

As you know I generally support the idea of an East Coast - West Coast secure teleconferencing facility. Although start-up costs may, at first glance, appear to be steep, I think they would be judged small when compared to the travel funds expended each year by projects with contractors on the West Coast.

STAT

As a point of information, I believe the total costs that you have projected [] for the start of a teleconferencing may be somewhat understated. This is based on what I believe to be insufficient provision for site preparation []

STAT

STAT

STAT

In any case, while I am unable to justify a SAFE participation in experiments with a teleconferencing facility you have my moral support for its establishment.

STAT